arXiv:1403.5939v1 [math.DG] 24 Mar 2014

Homogeneous geodesics in pseudo-Riemannian nilmanifolds

Viviana del Barco

Abstract. We study the geodesic orbit property for nilpotent Lie groups N when endowed with a pseudo-Riemannian left-invariant metric. We consider this property with respect to different groups acting by isometries. When N acts on itself by left-translations we show that it is a geodesic orbit space if and only if the metric is bi-invariant. Assuming N is 2-step nilpotent and with non-degenerate center we give algebraic conditions on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N in order to verify that every geodesic is the orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of $N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(N)$. In addition we present an example of an almost g.o. space such that for null homogeneous geodesics, the natural parameter of the orbit is not always the affine parameter of the geodesic.

1. Introduction

Homogeneous geodesics on homogeneous manifolds are the subject of several modern researches. Homogeneous manifolds whose all geodesics are homogeneous, known as g.o. manifolds, became a topic of interest itself after the work of Kaplan [15]; there he proves that this family is in fact strictly larger than the family of naturally reductive spaces, contrary to what it was generally believed up to that moment.

A deep investigation of Riemannian g.o spaces is carried on in [16]; they recover the ideas given by Szenthe [23] who introduced the concept of *geodesic graph*. Until then, the only non-naturally reductive known examples were the g.o. H-type Lie groups [22]. Kowalski and Vanhecke classify geodesic orbit spaces up to dimension 6 and prove that this is the first dimension where non-naturally reductive g.o. spaces appear. Gordon presents a 7-dimensional example on a Riemannian nilmanifold [12].

(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C50 53C22, 53C30, 22E25.
Keywords: pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous spaces, homogeneous geodesics, isometric actions, nilpotent Lie groups.

In the pseudo-Riemannian context, the interest on null homogeneous geodesics arises because of Penrose limits in homogeneous spacetimes [11, 21]. Actually, Penrose limits preserve homogeneity if all null geodesics in the spacetime are homogeneous. This fact motivated the further study of pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous spaces for which all null geodesics are homogeneous (see [18]). The authors in [3] fully classify 3-dimensional Lorentzian g.o. spaces. Homogeneous Lorentzian metrics on the oscillator group of dimension 4 for which every geodesic is homogeneous are given in [1]. Additional examples of pseudo-Riemannian g.o. spaces can be found in [9]. The concept of *almost* geodesic orbit spaces, that is homogeneous spaces such that all geodesics are homogeneous up to a set of measure zero, is introduced in [6] (see also [7, 8]).

Opposite to the Riemannian case, homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian spaces do not always admit reductive decompositions [11]. When the homogeneous space G/H is reductive, homogeneous geodesics correspond to homogeneous vectors in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. Homogeneous vectors are identified by an algebraic condition on $\mathfrak{g}$. This result is known as the *geodesic lemma* and was proved in [16] and in [10] for the Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian cases respectively, and it involves a real number k which is fundamental for giving the natural parameter of the homogeneous geodesic. In fact, when k is non-zero –which is only possible for null geodesics– the parameter of the one-parameter group of isometries is different from the affine parameter of the geodesic itself.

The reparametrization needed for null homogeneous geodesic is well explained in [6] with nontrivial examples. In [2], the authors exhibit an homogeneous space where *only* null geodesic are homogeneous, and they all require a reparametrization of the one-parameter subgroup to get the affine parameter of the geodesic. But none of these examples are on almost g.o. homogeneous spaces.

Regarding this topic, Dusek posed the following [8]:

Conjecture 1: If an homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian space G/H is almost g.o. or g.o., then every homogeneous geodesic through the origin –including null geodesics– is given by $\exp tX$, with $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and t an affine parameter of the geodesic.

One of the goals of this paper is to show that this conjecture does not hold for almost g.o. homogeneous spaces. Indeed, we present an homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold which is almost g.o. and for which the affine parameter of some null homogeneous geodesics is not the same as the parameter of the one-parameter subgroup of isometries. This manifold is actually a 2-step nilpotent Lie group of dimension six endowed with a left-invariant metric.

The example above arises after a deep study of the geodesic orbit property for left-invariant metrics on pseudo-Riemannian nilpotent Lie groups. Relevant results on Riemannian nilpotent Lie groups whose geodesics are homogeneous can be found in [12, 17]. In particular, a Riemannian g.o. nilpotent

Lie group is at most 2-step and it admits a correspondence between elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N and the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{n}$.

Let N be a nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric. The main results presented in this work consist on giving algebraic conditions for a geodesic of N to be homogeneous with respect the transitive action by isometries on N of two different groups G. Thus we are able to identify those ones whose (almost) all its geodesics are homogeneous.

We begin with the simple and transitive action of $G = N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(N)$ on N ($\mathrm{Auto}(N)$ the group of isometric automorphism). Recall that when N is Riemannian, G coincides with the full isometry group of N; this does not necessarily hold when the metric tensor is not positive definite [5].

In this case we generalize to the pseudo-Riemannian setting the characterization given by Gordon [12] for 2-step Riemannian nilpotent Lie groups whose geodesics are homogeneous (see Theorem 3.3 below). This more general result reveals the necessity of the reparametrization of null geodesics when the metric tensor is not positive definite: it involves the parameter $k \in \mathbb{R}$ coming from the geodesic lemma.

Afterwards, we analyze the action of $G = N$ on itself by left-translations. We prove that every geodesic is the orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of N only when the metric is bi-invariant.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to thank Gabriela Ovando the productive discussions on the subject and her useful comments on a previous version of the paper.

2. Preliminaries on homogeneous geodesics

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold M is homogeneous if it admits a transitive action by a connected subgroup of isometries G. Fixing a point $o \in M$ it is possible to identify M with the homogeneous space G/H where H is the isotropy subgroup of o. Moreover, M is isometric to the pseudo-Riemannian space G/H with a G-invariant metric.

Homogeneous Riemannian spaces $(G/H, g)$ are always reductive since the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G admits an $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$ invariant complement $\mathfrak{m}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$, the Lie algebra of H. Instead, pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous spaces might not be reductive [11]. Along this work we will assume that the pseudo-Riemannian $(G/H, g)$ space is reductive.

A geodesic $\gamma : J \longrightarrow G/H$ (J an open interval of $\mathbb{R}$) through the point o is an *homogeneous geodesic* if it is an orbit of a one-parameter group of isometries in G. That is, if it can be reparametrized as $\exp tX \cdot o$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. When every geodesic on G/H is homogeneous the manifold G/H is said to be a pseudo-Riemannian geodesic orbit space, or just a g.o. space [7]. Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces are particular cases of g.o. space.

If an homogeneous geodesic can be reparametrized as $\exp tX \cdot o$, then $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called a *geodesic vector*. Geodesic vectors in pseudo-Riemannian

reductive homogeneous spaces are characterized by an algebraic condition which is known as the geodesic lemma. This characterization was proved in the Riemannian case in [16] and in [10] in the pseudo-Riemannian case. Even though it has been repeatedly used before its formal proof; see for instance [11, 21].

Lemma 2.1 (Geodesic Lemma). *Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous manifold with reductive presentation $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$. An element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a geodesic vector if and only if there exists some constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\langle [X, Z]_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle = k \, \langle X_{\mathfrak{m}}, Z \rangle \ \text{ for all } Z \in \mathfrak{m}. \tag{1}$$

The subindex $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the component on that subspace of the vector. Notice that according to this formula, if X is a geodesic vector with constant k, then ηX is geodesic vector with constant ηk for any $\eta \neq 0$.

Below we sketch the ideas of the proof of the lemma (see [10, 16] for more details). Let $\alpha(t)$ be the one-parameter subgroup of a vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, that is $\alpha(t) = \exp tX \cdot o$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It holds $d\alpha/dt = X^*$ so $\nabla_{\dot\alpha} \dot\alpha = \nabla_{X^*} X^*$ where X^* is the infinitesimal vector field induced by the G-action on G/H.

Let $\gamma : J \longrightarrow G/H$ be a reparametrization of α. Thus there exists a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow J$ such that $\gamma(\varphi(t)) = \alpha(t)$. Canonical computations show that

$$\nabla_{\dot\gamma} \dot\gamma = (\psi')^2 \, \nabla_{\dot\alpha} \dot\alpha + \psi'' \, \dot\alpha = (\psi')^2 \, \nabla_{X^*} X^* + \psi'' \, X^*,$$

where $\psi(s) = \varphi^{-1}(s) = t$ has nowhere vanishing derivate. According to the definition above, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a geodesic vector if and only if for some φ the curve γ is a geodesic. Equivalently X is a geodesic vector if and only if

$$\nabla_{X^*} X^* = -k(s) \, X^*, \qquad \text{where} \quad k = \psi''/(\psi')^2. \tag{2}$$

Since G acts by isometries on G/H it must be k a constant function. Moreover, the Koszul formula for the metric connection of the G-invariant metric on G/H gives that for any vector X in $\mathfrak{g}$ it holds

$$\langle \nabla_{X^*} X^*, Z^* \rangle = - \langle X^*, [X, Z]^* \rangle \qquad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Recall that the projection $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/H$ induces the surjective map $d\pi_e : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T_oG/H$, $X \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto d\pi_e(X) = d\pi_e(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) = X^*_o$. Therefore $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is geodesic, i.e. it satisfies (2), if and only if it verifies the condition on the geodesic lemma.

Notice that whenever X is a geodesic vector, Eq. (2) holds and gives the possible reparametrizations for the one-parameter subgroup $\exp tX \cdot o$. In fact, if this equation holds with $k = 0$ then $\psi'' = 0$ implies $t = \psi(s) = \tilde{a}s + \tilde{b}$, so $\varphi(t) = at + b$, for some $a \neq 0$ and t is the affine parameter of the geodesic γ. Also in this case, $\nabla_{\dot\alpha} \dot\alpha = 0$. To the contrary, if $k \neq 0$ in Eq. (2) then ψ verifies the differential equation $\psi'' + k\,(\psi')^2 = 0$ thus $\psi(s) = \frac{1}{k} \ln(as + b)$ for some $a \neq 0$. Hence $s = e^{-kt}$ is an affine parameter for the geodesic γ. Consequently, the affine parameters for a geodesic which is a reparametrization of $\exp tX \cdot o$ are t and e^{-kt} where k arises from (2).

It is important to remark that only null homogeneous geodesics admit $k \neq 0$. In fact, the geodesic γ with $\gamma(\varphi(t)) = \alpha(t) = \exp tX \cdot o$ is non-null only when $\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle \neq 0$. On the one hand, the geodesic lemma asserts that

$$k\,\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = \langle X_\mathfrak{m}, [X, X_\mathfrak{m}]\rangle\,;$$

from the other hand the $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-invariance of the metric in $\mathfrak{m}$ gives

$$\langle [X, X_\mathfrak{m}]_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = \langle [X_\mathfrak{h}, X_\mathfrak{m}], X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = -\,\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, [X_\mathfrak{h}, X_\mathfrak{m}]\rangle$$

thus $\langle [X, X_\mathfrak{m}]_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = 0$ which implies $k = 0$.

The following proposition follows characterizes the geodesic vectors and its proof is a direct consequence of the geodesic lemma (see [16] for instance).

Corollary 2.2. *Every geodesic on a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous space G/H with reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$ is homogeneous if and only if each $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a projection of a geodesic vector $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. That is, for each $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$ there exists $A \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that*

$$\langle [A + Y, Z]_\mathfrak{m}, Y\rangle = k\,\langle Y, Z\rangle \ \text{ for all } Z \in \mathfrak{m}. \tag{3}$$

The elements in $\mathfrak{m}$ can be the projection of more than one geodesic vector.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}\backslash\{0\}$ be a geodesic vector and $A \in \mathfrak{h}$. The vector $A + X$ is geodesic if and only if for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ it holds*

$$[A, X_\mathfrak{m}] = \lambda X_\mathfrak{m}.$$

If $\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle \neq 0$ then $\lambda = 0$.

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\langle [X, Z]_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = k\,\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, Z\rangle$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then it holds

$$\langle [A + X, Z]_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = \langle [A, Z], X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle + k\,\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, Z\rangle = \langle Z, kX_\mathfrak{m} - [A, X_\mathfrak{m}]\rangle\,,$$

where for the last equality it is used that $\mathfrak{h}$ acts by skew-symmetric transformations on $\mathfrak{m}$.

If $A + X$ is a geodesic vector and by means of the geodesic lemma there exists $k' \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle Z, kX_\mathfrak{m} - [A, X_\mathfrak{m}]\rangle = k'\,\langle X_\mathfrak{m}, Z\rangle \ \text{ for all } Z \in \mathfrak{m}$$

which together with the fact that the metric in $\mathfrak{m}$ is non-degenerate implies $[A, X_\mathfrak{m}] = (k - k')X_\mathfrak{m}$ so the equation above holds.

Conversely, if $[A, X_\mathfrak{m}] = \lambda X_\mathfrak{m}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\langle [A + X, Z]_\mathfrak{m}, X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = \langle Z, kX_\mathfrak{m} - \lambda X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = (k - \lambda)\,\langle Z, (A + X)_\mathfrak{m}\rangle$$

and $A + X$ is geodesic.

The last assertion follows from the fact that $\langle [A, X_\mathfrak{m}], X_\mathfrak{m}\rangle = 0$ since A is skew-symmetric with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. ∎

One of the techniques used to study pseudo-Riemannian g.o. spaces is the concept of *geodesic graph* which we introduce below. The original idea comes from Szenthe [23].

Let $(G/H, g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian reductive homogeneous space and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ an $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-invariant decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. A map $\xi : \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-equivariant if $\xi(\mathrm{Ad}(h)X) = \mathrm{Ad}(h)\xi(X)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $h \in H$.

A *geodesic graph* of the homogeneous space G/H is an $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-equivariant map $\xi : \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ which is rational on an open dense subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ and such that $X + \xi(X)$ is a geodesic vector for each $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. A pseudo-Riemannian manifold is naturally reductive if it admits a linear geodesic graph [7].

On every reductive g.o. space there exists at least one geodesic graph [23]. A reductive homogeneous space G/H with reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is an *almost g.o. space* (resp. *n.g.o*) space if a geodesic graph can be defined on an open dense subset $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ (resp. on the null cone $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$), but not on all $\mathfrak{m}$. Here n.g.o. means null geodesic are orbits.

3. Homogeneous geodesics on nilpotent Lie groups

The purpose of this section is to study homogeneous geodesics on nilpotent Lie groups when endowed with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric.

Along this section $(N, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ denotes a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group N equipped with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$; we also denote with $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ the metric on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N. We determine algebraic conditions for N to be a geodesic orbit space with respect to the particular reductive presentation $N = G/H$ where $G = N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(N)$ and $H = \mathrm{Auto}(N)$. Recall that $\mathrm{Auto}(N)$ is the subgroup of isometric automorphisms of N. It is possible to identify $\mathrm{Auto}(N)$ with the group of isometric automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$, since N is simply connected.

We focus on the situation where N is 2-step nilpotent and the metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ restricted to the center is nondegenerate. Our goal is to identify among this family, those nilpotent Lie groups having all its geodesics as one-parameter subgroups (possibly after reparametrization) of the group G.

Let $\mathfrak{z}$ be the center of $\mathfrak{n}$ and assume the restriction of $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ to $\mathfrak{z}$ is nondegenerate. Hence there exists an orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{n}$

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z} \tag{4}$$

so that $\mathfrak{v}$ is also nondegenerate.

Given $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, denote by ad_X^* the adjoint transformation of ad_X with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. One verifies that when $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ it holds $\mathrm{ad}_X^* Z \in \mathfrak{v}$, while $\mathrm{ad}_X^* Z = 0$ if $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ or $X, Z \in \mathfrak{v}$. Furthermore each $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ defines a linear transformation $j(Z) : \mathfrak{v} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ by

$$j(Z)X = \mathrm{ad}_X^* Z \text{ for all } X \in \mathfrak{v},$$

so that

$$\langle j(Z)X, X' \rangle = \langle Z, [X, X'] \rangle \qquad \text{for all } X, X' \in \mathfrak{v}. \tag{5}$$

Thus for $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$, the map $j(Z)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$, the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric maps of $\mathfrak{v}$ with respect to $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ and one gets that $j : \mathfrak{z} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ is a linear homomorphism. As in the Riemannian case, the maps $j(Z)$ capture

important geometric information of the pseudo-Riemannian space $(N, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$. The following result follows from Eq. (5) and usual computations.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ a nondegenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{n}$ such that its center is nondegenerate. Denote with $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ the group of isometric automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$ and let $j : \mathfrak{z} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ be as above. Then for each $h \in \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ it holds:*

1. $\mathrm{Ad}(h)D = hDh^{-1}$ *for each skew-symmetric derivation D of $\mathfrak{n}$,*
2. $h(j(Z)(X)) = j(hZ)(hX)$ *for all $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$.*

Denote with $\mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$ the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric derivations of $\mathfrak{n}$; this is the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$. The action of $G = N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ on N by isometries has the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$. Let $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ and write $Y = X + Z$ with $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$. The next result introduces necessary and sufficient conditions for Y to be the projection over $\mathfrak{n}$ of a geodesic vector in $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 3.2. *An element $Y = X + Z$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ is the projection of a geodesic vector if and only if there exists a skew-symmetric derivation D and a constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $D(Z) = -kZ$ and $(D + kI)X = j(Z)X$. If this holds, for any $h \in \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$, $\mathrm{Ad}(h)D + \mathrm{Ad}(h)(X + Z)$ is also a geodesic vector with same constant k.*

Proof. Notice that $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ is the projection of a geodesic vector in $\mathfrak{g}$ if there exists a skew-symmetric derivation D such that $D + Y$ is a geodesic vector. Let $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ such that $Y = X + Z$. By the geodesic lemma, $D + X + Z$ is a geodesic vector if and only if there is a real constant k verifying

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [D + X + Z, U]_{\mathfrak{n}}, X + Z \rangle &= \langle D\,U, X \rangle + \langle D\,U, Z \rangle + \langle [X, U], Z \rangle \\ &= k\ \langle X, U \rangle + k\ \langle Z, U \rangle \qquad \text{for all } U \in \mathfrak{n}. \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

This equality uses the fact that any derivation preserves the center which implies, in this case, that it also preserves $\mathfrak{v}$.

Suppose $U \in \mathfrak{z}$, then (6) is equivalent to

$$\langle D\,U, Z \rangle = -\langle U, D\,Z \rangle = k\ \langle Z, U \rangle\,.$$

which holds for any $U \in \mathfrak{z}$ if and only if $D\,Z = -kZ$ for some k.

Suppose $U \in \mathfrak{v}$ and D is a skew-symmetric derivation, then (6) is equivalent to

$$\langle D\,U, X \rangle + \langle [X, U], Z \rangle = \langle U, -D\,X \rangle + \langle j(Z)\,X, U \rangle = k\ \langle X, U \rangle$$

which holds for any $U \in \mathfrak{v}$ if and only if $(D + kI)X = j(Z)X$ for some k.

Let $h \in \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$, then $\mathrm{Ad}(h)$ preserves the orthogonal splitting $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$. The equalities in Lemma 3.1 yield to

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathrm{Ad}(h)D + kI](\mathrm{Ad}(h)X) &= [hDh^{-1} + kI](hX) = hD(X) + khX \\ &= h(j(Z)X) = j(hZ)(hX) \\ &= j(\mathrm{Ad}(h)Z)(\mathrm{Ad}(h)X) \end{aligned}$$

and also,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad}(h)D(\mathrm{Ad}(h)Z) &= hDh^{-1}(hZ) \\ &= hDZ \;=\; -k\,hZ \;=\; -k\;\mathrm{Ad}(h)Z, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

so the result follows. ■

Now we can establish the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let N be a pseudo-Riemannian simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group N having nondegenerate center. N is g.o. with respect to $G = N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ if and only if for each $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and a skew symmetric derivation D of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that $D(Z) = -kZ$ and $(D + kI)X = j(Z)X$.*

Remark 1. Recall that if the metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is positive definite then $k = 0$ for every geodesic vector. In this case, the statement of the previous theorem coincides with that of Theorem 2.10 in [12].

Given $X + Z \in \mathfrak{n}$ it is possible to have more than one skew-symmetric derivation satisfying the conditions in Theorem 3.3 (see Proposition 2.3). If there is an open dense subset $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathfrak{n}$, such that each $Y = X + Z \in \mathcal{U}$ has unique solution to those conditions, then a geodesic map is defined as follows: for $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$, the element $\xi(Y) \in \mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$ is the derivation D given in the previous theorem. The map $\xi : \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(H)$-invariant as a consequence of the previous lemma. N is g.o. when $\mathcal{U}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{n}$.

3.1. Trivial isotropy

This final part of the section is devoted to investigate homogeneous geodesics on nilpotent Lie groups N when the group acting by isometries is $G = N$ and the action is by left-translations. Note that the isotropy is $H = \{e\}$ since this action of G on N is free.

We obtain that a left-invariant metric on a nilpotent Lie group N is g.o. with respect to the trivial isotropy presentation only when it is bi-invariant. This result is valid for any nilpotent Lie group, not only for 2-step. When we restrict to the family of 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with nondegenerate center we show that they are never g.o nor almost g.o spaces when the trivial isotropy presentation is considered.

Recall that a *bi-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric* $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on a Lie group N is a metric for which translations on the right and on the left by elements of N are isometries. Let N be connected Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric and let $\mathfrak{n}$ be its corresponding Lie algebra, then the following statements are equivalent:

1. $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is right-invariant, hence bi-invariant;
2. $\langle \mathrm{ad}_X Y, Z\rangle + \langle Y, \mathrm{ad}_X Z\rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{n}$;
3. $\langle \mathrm{ad}_X Z, X\rangle = 0$ for all $X, Z \in \mathfrak{n}$;
4. the geodesics of N starting at the identity element e are the one-parameter subgroups of N, $\exp tX$ where t is the affine parameter for the geodesic.

The proof of the equivalences between $1, 2$ and 4 can be found in [19]. It is immediate that condition 2 implies 3; for the converse, polarize 3 to obtain 2. A symmetric bilinear form on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ satisfying 2. above is said to be *ad-invariant*. These equalities are valid for any connected Lie group, not only for nilpotent ones.

We say that a nilpotent Lie group N is a *g.o. Lie group* if N is a geodesic orbit space with respect to the action of $G = N$ on itself by left translations. It is clear that bi-invariant metrics are naturally reductive (and therefore g.o.) with respect to the presentation G/H with $G = N$ and $H = \{e\}$. The next result shows the converse of this fact.

Theorem 3.4. *Let N be a connected nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$. N is a g.o. Lie group if and only if its metric is bi-invariant.*

Proof. Assume N is a g.o. Lie group and let $X \in \mathfrak{n}$. Using the geodesic lemma, there exists some constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle [X, Z], X\rangle = k\,\langle X, Z\rangle \qquad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{n}. \tag{8}$$

We prove that k is an eigenvalue of ad_X. Indeed, Eq. (8) is equivalent to

$$\langle (\mathrm{ad}_X - kI)Z, X\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{n}. \tag{9}$$

If k is not an eigenvalue of ad_X then $(\mathrm{ad}_X - kI)$ is in particular surjective which togheter with (9) implies that the metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is degenerate. Hence, for each $X \in \mathfrak{n}$, k is an eigenvalue of ad_X. Recall that every adjoint operator ad_X is nilpotent if the Lie algebras is nilpotent, so $k = 0$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{n}$. Thus for every $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ it holds

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}_X\, Z, X\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{for all } Z \in \mathfrak{n},$$

which by 3. above implies that the metric is bi-invariant. ∎

Assume now that N is 2-step nilpotent with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$. It is easy to prove that when the pseudo-Riemannian metric $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ of N is bi-invariant, then the center $\mathfrak{z}$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ is degenerate. So 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with nondegenerate center are never g.o. Lie groups; nevertheless, geodesic vectors with respect to $G = N$ might exist.

Suppose that the center is non-degenerate and recall the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ as in (4) and the map $j : \mathfrak{v} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ defined as in (5). Given $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$, denote by $Y_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and $Y_{\mathfrak{z}}$ the orthogonal projection of Y on $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ respectively. The next result characterizes geodesic vectors in $\mathfrak{n}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let N be a simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ and let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be a pseudo-Riemannian left-invariant metric on N for which the center is nondegenerate. An element $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ is a geodesic vector if and only if $j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})(Y_{\mathfrak{v}}) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ is a geodesics vector. Then, as above, there exist $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\langle [Y, U], Y\rangle = k\,\langle Y, U\rangle \qquad \text{for all } U \in \mathfrak{n}. \tag{10}$$

In particular, for all $U \in \mathfrak{z}$ it holds $0 = \langle [Y,U],Y\rangle = k\,\langle Y,U\rangle = k\,\langle Z,U\rangle$; the nondegeneracy of the center implies that $k = 0$ if $Y \notin \mathfrak{v}$. If $Y \in \mathfrak{v}$, then for all $U \in \mathfrak{v}$ we have $\langle [Y,U],Y\rangle = 0$ because $[Y,U] \in \mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{z} \perp \mathfrak{v}$. Then $0 = k\,\langle Y,U\rangle$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{v}$, so $k = 0$ if $Y \neq 0$. We get that if Y is geodesic then $\langle [Y,U],Y\rangle = 0$, for all $U \in \mathfrak{n}$. Notice that $\langle [Y,U],Y\rangle = \langle [Y_{\mathfrak{v}},U],Y_{\mathfrak{z}}\rangle = \langle j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})(Y_{\mathfrak{v}}),U\rangle = 0$ for all $U \in \mathfrak{n}$, which implies $j(Y_{\mathfrak{z}})(Y_{\mathfrak{v}}) = 0$ as we intended to prove.

The converse is straightforward. ■

The set $\mathcal{U} = \{X + Z \in \mathfrak{n} : X \in \mathfrak{v},\ Z \in \mathfrak{z} \text{ and } j(Z)(X) = 0\}$ is never an open dense subset of $\mathfrak{n}$ unless N is abelian. Thus we obtain

Corollary 3.6. *Let N be a 2-step nilpotent Lie group endowed with a pseudo-Riemannian metric such that the center is nondegenerate. Then N is neither a g.o. nor an almost g.o. space with respect to the trivial isotropy presentation.*

4. An almost g.o. space whose null homogeneous geodesics require a reparametrization

In this section we present an example of a nilpotent Lie group N of dimension six which is almost g.o. when the action of $G = N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ on N is considered. As an homogeneous manifold it is almost g.o. but not g.o. since it admits geodesics which are not homogeneous with respect to G. Moreover, it admits null homogeneous geodesics for which its corresponding geodesic vectors satisfy the geodesic lemma with non-zero parameter k.

Consider $\mathbb{R}^6$ with the canonical differentiable structure and let g denote the following pseudo-Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^6$:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1}{2}(x_3dx^1 - x_1dx^3)(x_4dx^2 - x_2dx^4) + dx^5(x_4dx^2 - x_2dx^4) \\ &\quad + dx^6(x_3dx^1 - x_1dx^3)dx^2dx^3 - dx^1dx^4 + 2dx^5dx^6. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(\mathbb{R}^6, g)$ admits a transitive and simple action of the 2-step nilpotent Lie group N which is modeled on $\mathbb{R}^6$ with multiplication law such that for $p = (x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5,x_6)$ and $q = (y_1,y_2,y_3,y_4,y_5,y_6)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} p \cdot q &= \Big(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3, x_4 + y_4, \\ &\qquad x_5 + y_5 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1y_3 - x_3y_1), x_6 + y_6 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2y_4 - x_4y_2)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The corresponding metric on N induced by (11) is invariant under left-translations, thus N is a Lie group endowed with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric. As a Lie group, N is isomorphic to the product of two Hesienberg Lie groups: $N \simeq \mathsf{H}_3(\mathsf{R}) \times \mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R})$. Nevertheless, the metric is not a product metric. A basis of left-invariant vector fields, evaluated at a point

$p = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \partial_1 - \frac{x_3}{2}\,\partial_5, & X_2 &= \partial_2 - \frac{x_4}{2}\,\partial_6, \\ X_3 &= \partial_3 + \frac{x_1}{2}\,\partial_5, & X_4 &= \partial_4 + \frac{x_2}{2}\,\partial_6, \\ X_5 &= \partial_5, & X_6 &= \partial_6, \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ is the usual coordinate system of $\mathbb{R}^6$. These vector fields give a basis of $\mathfrak{n}$, the Lie algebra of N. At the identity 0 of N they satisfy $[X_1, X_3] = X_5$, $[X_2, X_4] = X_6$ while the other brackets are zero. The metric on this basis of invariant vector fields is constant on N and equal to

$$1 = -\langle X_1, X_4\rangle = \langle X_2, X_3\rangle\,, \quad 2 = \langle X_5, X_6\rangle\,.$$

The center of $\mathfrak{n}$ is $\mathfrak{z} = span\{X_5, X_6\}$, the metric restricted to $\mathfrak{z}$ is nondegenerate and $\mathfrak{z}^\perp = \mathfrak{v} = span\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$. The linear map $j : \mathfrak{z} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$ defined in (5) evaluated on basic elements is

$$j(X_5) = -2(E_{12} + E_{34}), \quad j(X_6) = 2(E_{21} + E_{43}); \tag{13}$$

here E_{ij} denotes the 4×4 matrix which has a 1 in the file i and column j and 0 otherwise.

Let Z be a central element and $Z = u_1X_5 + u_2X_6$, then $j(Z)^2 = -4u_1u_2Id_{\mathfrak{v}} = -\langle Z, Z\rangle\, Id_{\mathfrak{v}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{n}$ (resp. N) is a pseudo-H-type Lie algebra (resp. Lie group)[1].

Remark 2. The nilpotent Lie group N that we work in this section is obtained as a quotient by a central element of the 7-dimensional nilpotent pseudo-H-type Lie group in Example 2.1 of [13].

Proposition 4.1. *The pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(\mathbb{R}^6, g)$ is homogeneous, its isometry group has four connected components and the connected component of the identity is isomorphic to $(\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R})) \rtimes \mathsf{GL}(2)$.*

Proof. As stated above, the nilpotent Lie group N modeled on $\mathbb{R}^6$ with multiplication law as in (12) acts by isometries on $(\mathbb{R}^6, g)$ and this action is simple and transitive. So $(\mathbb{R}^6, g)$ is homogeneous and it is isometric to N with the left-invariant metric g.

The full isometry group of N, $\mathrm{Iso}(N)$, (which coincides with that of $(\mathbb{R}^6, g)$) is isomorphic to $N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ where $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ is the group of isometric automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$. In fact, since N is a of pseudo-H-type, one may apply Theorem 1 in [5]. Thus $\mathrm{Iso}_0(N) \simeq N \rtimes \mathrm{Auto}_0(\mathfrak{n})$.

Given $A \in \mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$, A preserves the center since it is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ and, because it is an isometry, it also preserves its orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{z}^\perp = \mathfrak{v}$. Following canonical computations one obtains the elements of $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ and shows that it has four connected components. Below we describe the subgroup $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})_0$.

[1]Riemannian H-type Lie algebras were introduced by Kaplan in [14]. In pseudo-Riemannian geometry, we find this name for the first time in [4].

For each 2×2 real matrix $\tau=(\tau_{ij})_{i,j=1}^2$ such that $\det\tau\neq 0$, let A_τ be the endomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that in the basis $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^6$ above satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} A_\tau X_1 &= \tau_{11}X_1+\tau_{21}X_3, & A_\tau X_2 &= \frac{\tau_{11}}{\det\tau}X_2+\frac{\tau_{21}}{\det\tau}X_4,\\ A_\tau X_3 &= \tau_{12}X_1+\tau_{22}X_3, & A_\tau X_4 &= \frac{\tau_{12}}{\det\tau}X_2+\frac{\tau_{22}}{\det\tau}X_4,\\ A_\tau X_5 &= \det\tau\, X_5, & A_\tau X_6 &= \frac{1}{\det\tau}X_6. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that A_τ is an isometric automorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$. The connected component of the identity of $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ is

$$\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})_0=\{A_\tau:\tau\in \mathsf{GL}(2)\} \tag{14}$$

and $A_\tau\, A_\sigma=A_{\tau\cdot\sigma}$ where $\cdot$ is the usual product in $\mathsf{GL}(2)$. So $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})_0\simeq\mathsf{GL}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Iso}(N)_0\simeq(\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R})\times\mathsf{H}_3(\mathbb{R}))\rtimes\mathsf{GL}(2)$.

Let $B_i:\mathfrak{n}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{n}$, $i=1,2,3$ be the endomorphisms having the next matrix representation in the basis $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^6$:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= E_{13}+E_{24}-E_{31}-E_{42}+E_{55}+E_{66},\\ B_2 &= E_{12}+E_{14}+E_{21}+E_{23}+E_{32}+E_{41}-E_{56}-E_{65},\\ B_3 &= E_{14}+E_{23}+E_{32}+E_{34}+E_{41}+E_{44}-E_{56}-E_{65}; \end{aligned}$$

here E_{ij} denotes the 6×6 matrix which has a 1 in the file i and column j and 0 otherwise. For all i, B_i is an isometric automorphisms of $\mathfrak{n}$. The other three connected component of $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ are given by $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})_i=B_i\cdot\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})_0$, for $i=1,2,3$. ■

Because of Corollary 3.6, the Lie group N is neither a g.o. nor an almost g.o. space with respect to the action of N on itself by left-translations (hence with trivial isotropy). So we investigate the geodesic orbit property of (N,g) with respect to the action of $\mathrm{Iso}(N)\simeq N\rtimes\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$.

Remark 3. The homogeneous manifold (N,g) is not naturally reductive with respect to $\mathrm{Iso}(N)$. This fact is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 in [20]. Indeed, $[j(X_5),j(X_6)]\notin j(\mathfrak{z})$ so $j(\mathfrak{z})$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{v})$.

Next, we apply Lemma 3.2 to determine which geodesics of N are homogeneous with respect to $\mathrm{Iso}(N)$.

We start with the following change of basis of $\mathfrak{n}$: $e_i=X_i$, $i=1,\dots,4$ and $e_5=X_5+\frac{1}{4}X_6$, $e_6=-X_5+\frac{1}{4}X_6$; this is a pseudo-orthonormal basis on $\mathfrak{z}$ with $1=\langle e_5,e_5\rangle=-\langle e_6,e_6\rangle$ and non-zero Lie brackets

$$[e_1,e_3]=\frac{1}{2}(e_5-e_6),\quad [e_2,e_4]=2(e_5+e_6).$$

It holds $\mathfrak{z}=span\{e_5,e_6\}$ and $\mathfrak{v}=span\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$.

Corollary 4.2. *The Lie algebra of skew-symmetric derivations of* $\mathfrak{n}$ *is*

$$\mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})\simeq\mathbb{R}\oplus\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})\simeq\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R}).$$

Proof. The structure of the Lie algebra is a consequence of (14). A basis of $\mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$ is given by the set $\{T, H, E, F\}$, each of them being an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ such that in the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^6$ above have the following matrix representation:

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} T &=& E_{11} - E_{22} + E_{33} - E_{44} - 2E_{56} - 2E_{65}, & & E &=& E_{13} + E_{24}, \\ H &=& E_{11} + E_{22} - E_{33} - E_{44}, & & F &=& E_{31} + E_{42}; \end{array}$$

again, E_{ij} denotes the 6×6 matrix whose all the entries are zero except for the ij which is one. The only non-vanishing Lie brackets of this basis are

$$[E, F] = H, \quad [H, E] = 2E \quad \text{and} \quad [H, F] = -2F.$$

■

We show below that N is an almost geodesic orbit space with respect to $\mathrm{Iso}(N)$. To do so, we define a geodesic graph $\xi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$ with $\mathcal{U}$ an open dense subset of $\mathfrak{n}$.

According to Lemma 3.2, an element $Y = X + Z$ in $\mathfrak{n}$ with $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ is a geodesic vector if there exists a constant $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and a skew-symmetric derivation $D : \mathfrak{n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ such that $(D + kI)Z = 0$ and $(D + kI)X = j(Z)X$.

Let Y be an element of $\mathfrak{n}$ then $Y = X + Z$ where $X = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + x_4e_4 \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $Z = z_5e_5 + z_6e_6 \in \mathfrak{z}$. A skew-symmetric derivation has the form $\xi_1T + \xi_2H + \xi_3E + \xi_4F$ where ξ_i are real numbers and $\{T, H, E, F\}$ is as in Corollary 4.2. Thus the coefficients x_i, z_i, ξ_i must satisfy the following system of equations.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ -x_2 & x_2 & x_4 & 0 \\ x_3 & -x_3 & 0 & x_1 \\ -x_4 & -x_4 & 0 & x_2 \\ -2\,z_6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\,z_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \\ \xi_3 \\ \xi_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2(z_5 - z_6)x_2 - kx_1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(z_5 + z_6)x_1 - kx_2 \\ -2(z_5 - z_6)x_4 - kx_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}(z_5 + z_6)x_3 - kx_4 \\ -kz_5 \\ -kz_6 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

In the case that $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0$ or $z_5 = z_6 = 0$ we choose $k = 0$ and $\xi(X) = 0$ which clearly solve the system in Eq. (15). In what follows, unless otherwise stated, it is $x_i \neq 0$ and $z_j \neq 0$ for at least some $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ and $j = 5, 6$.

Assume $\langle X, X \rangle = 2(x_3x_2 - x_1x_4) \neq 0$ then canonical computations show that k vanishes and the (unique) solution to the system is

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= 0, \\ \xi_2 &= \frac{z_5(4\,x_4x_2 + x_1x_3) + z_6(x_1x_3 - 4x_4x_2)}{\langle X, X \rangle} \\ \xi_3 &= -\frac{z_5(4\,{x_2}^2 + x_1^2) + z_6({x_1}^2 - 4x_2^2)}{\langle X, X \rangle} \\ \xi_4 &= \frac{z_5(4\,{x_4}^2 + x_3^2) + z_6({x_3}^2 - 4x_4^2)}{\langle X, X \rangle}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

This solution is independent of whether $\langle Z, Z\rangle$ is zero or not.

The set

$$\mathcal{U} = \{X + Z : \langle X, X\rangle \neq 0\} \tag{17}$$

is an open dense subset of $\mathfrak{n}$ and the map $\xi : \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Dera}(\mathfrak{n})$ defined by $\xi(X + Z) = \xi_1 T + \xi_2 H + \xi_3 E + \xi_4 F$ with the coordinates in Eq. (16) is a geodesic graph for N.

Set $\mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ and denote with $\mathcal{N}$ the null cone of $\mathfrak{n}$, that is

$$\mathcal{N} = \{X + Z \in \mathfrak{n} \,:\, \langle X + Z, X + Z\rangle = \langle X, X\rangle + \langle Z, Z\rangle = 0\}.$$

The following lemma describes properties of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{V}$.

Lemma 4.3. *The set $\mathcal{V}$ is the disjoint union $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2$ where every element in $\mathcal{V}_1$ is a geodesic vector but none of the vectors in $\mathcal{V}_0$ are geodesic. Moreover the null cone $\mathcal{N}$ verifies $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_2$.*

Proof. Let $Y = X + Z$ be such that $\langle X, X\rangle = 0$ and $\langle Z, Z\rangle \neq 0$. Then the last two rows in (15) imply $\xi_1 = 0$ and $k = 0$. Also, the vector $(0, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4)$ is a solution of that system if and only if (ξ_2, ξ_3, ξ_4) is a solution of the system $Ax = b$ where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & 0 \\ x_2 & x_4 & 0 \\ -x_3 & 0 & x_1 \\ -x_4 & 0 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} -2(z_5 - z_6)x_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}(z_5 + z_6)x_1 \\ -2(z_5 - z_6)x_4 \\ \frac{1}{2}(z_5 + z_6)x_3 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{18}$$

All subdeterminants of order three of A are zero since $\langle X, X\rangle = 0$. Moreover, $X \neq 0$ implies that some subdeterminants of order two are non-zero, hence $\mathrm{rk}(A) = 2$. Frobenius theorem asserts that the system $Ax = b$ admits a solution if only if the rank of $\tilde{A} = (A \,|\, b)$ is 2.

Every subdeterminant of order three of $\tilde{A}$ is zero if and only if the following conditions hold simultaneously:

$$\begin{aligned} z_6(x_3^2 - 4\,x_4^2) + z_5(4\,x_4^2 + x_3^2) &= 0, \\ z_6(x_1^2 - 4\,x_2^2) + z_5(4\,x_2^2 + x_1^2) &= 0, \\ z_6(x_3x_1 - 4x_2x_4) + z_5(x_3x_1 + 4x_2x_4) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_0 &= \{X + Z \in \mathfrak{n} : \langle X, X\rangle = 0,\ \langle Z, Z\rangle \neq 0,\ \text{(19) does not hold}\}, \\ \mathcal{V}_1 &= \{X + Z \in \mathfrak{n} : \langle X, X\rangle = 0,\ \langle Z, Z\rangle \neq 0,\ \text{(19) holds}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For elements in $\mathcal{V}_0$ there is no solution to the system in (18) and therefore none of the vectors in $\mathcal{V}_0$ is a geodesic vector.

To the contrary, every vector in $\mathcal{V}_1$ is geodesic. Next, we present the solutions to system (18) for $X + Z = \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i e_i + \sum_{i=5}^{6} z_i e_i$ in $\mathcal{V}_1$. Given $X + Z \in \mathcal{V}_1$ it holds that $x_1 = 0$ if and only if $x_2 = 0$ and also, $x_3 = 0$ if and only if $x_4 = 0$.

- If $x_1 = 0$ then the solutions are

$$\xi_2 = 2(z_5 - z_6)\frac{x_4}{x_3} = \frac{1}{2}(z_5 + z_6)\frac{x_3}{x_4}, \quad \xi_3 = 0, \text{ and } \xi_4 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

  Notice that the equality for ξ_2 holds because of the first row in (19).
- If $x_3 = 0$ then the solutions are

$$\xi_2 = -2(z_5 - z_6)\frac{x_2}{x_1} = \frac{1}{2}(z_5 + z_6)\frac{x_1}{x_2}, \quad \xi_4 = 0 \text{ and } \xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- If $x_i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ then the solutions are:

$$\xi_2 = 2(z_5 - z_6)\frac{x_2}{x_1} + \frac{x_2}{x_4}\xi_4, \quad \xi_3 = -4(z_5 - z_6)\frac{x_2}{x_3} - \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_3 x_4}\xi_4, \text{ and } \xi_4 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Observe that the elements of $\mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_0$ have non-zero norm, so $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_2$ where

$$\mathcal{V}_2 \quad = \quad \{X + Z \in \mathfrak{n} : \langle X, X\rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle Z, Z\rangle = 0\}. \tag{20}$$

■

Theorem 4.4. *The homogeneous manifold $N = \mathrm{Iso}(N)/\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ is an almost g.o. pseudo-Riemannian space which is neither a geodesic orbit space nor a null geodesic orbit space. Moreover, it admits null homogeneous geodesics with non-zero parameter k in the geodesic lemma* (1).

Proof. Since $\mathcal{V}_0$ in the lemma above is nonempty, N is not geodesic orbit space with respect to the presentation $\mathrm{Iso}(N)/\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$. Instead, it is an almost g.o homogeneous space with $\mathcal{U}$ as in (17). The set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{X + Z = \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i e_i + \sum_{i=5}^{6} z_i e_i : \ \langle X, X\rangle = 0,\ z_5 = z_6 \neq 0,\ x_1 x_2 \neq 0\}$$

is a subset of the null cone and $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_2$ with $\mathcal{V}_2$ as in (20). Given $X + Z \in \mathcal{W}$ define $k = -x_1 z_5 / x_2$ and set

$$\xi_1 = \frac{1}{2}\,k, \quad \xi_2 = \frac{3}{2}\,\frac{x_1 z_5}{x_2} - \frac{x_3}{x_1}\,\xi_3, \quad \xi_4 = 3\,\frac{x_3 z_5}{x_2} - \frac{x_3^2}{x_1^2}\,\xi_3, \quad \xi_3 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{21}$$

The vector with coordinates $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4)$ solves the system in (15). Thus any element in $\mathcal{W}$ is an example of a null homogeneous vector with non-zero parameter k.

On the other hand, if $Y = X + Z$ is such that $\langle X, X\rangle = 0$, $z_5 = -z_6 \neq 0$, $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$ then it must be $x_3 = 0$ and there is no solution to the system in (15). Since $Y \in \mathcal{V}_2$, the manifold is not n.g.o. ■

To conclude the paper we analyze the properties of this example and the previous results in relation to two conjectures posed by Dusek in [8].

Recall the conjecture in the Introduction. The geodesic lemma allows us to rephrase its statement:

Conjecture 1 [8]**.** If an homogeneous space G/H is an almost g.o. space or a g.o. space then every null homogeneous vector satisfies the geodesic lemma with $k = 0$.

As we see from the previous theorem, the six dimensional homogeneous manifold $N = \mathrm{Iso}(N)/\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ is an almost g.o. counterexample for this conjecture.

Corollary 4.5. *Conjecture 1 is not true for almost g.o. homogeneous spaces.*

Our example is not a geodesic orbit space, so the conjecture remains open in that case. We state:

Conjecture 4.6. *Let G/H be a pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous g.o. space. For all null homogeneous geodesics one has $k = 0$ in the geodesic lemma.*

Notice that Theorem 3.4 is a partial proof of Conjecture 4.6 in the particular case where $G = N$ is a nilpotent Lie group endowed with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric, acting on itself by left translations so that $H = \{e\}$.

Conjecture 2. [8] Let $(G/H, g)$ be a pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous space, and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{h}$ be a fixed reductive decomposition. Let ξ be the geodesic graph which is nonlinear and unique on an open dense subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. [...] If the isotropy group H is noncompact, then there is a set $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V} = \mathfrak{m}\backslash\mathcal{U}$ where the geodesic graph cannot be defined and hence $(G/H, g)$ is not a geodesic orbit space, but only an almost g.o. space. Further, for any $Y \in \mathcal{V}$, there is a curve $\gamma(t)$ with the values in $\mathfrak{m}$ and defined on an interval $[0, \delta)$ such that $\gamma(0) = Y \in \mathcal{V}$, $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{U}$ for $t \in (0, \delta)$ and the limit of some component $\xi_k(\gamma(t))$ of the geodesic graph ξ is infinite for $t \longrightarrow 0_+$.

Our example fits into this statement: the isotropy subgroup $\mathrm{Auto}(\mathfrak{n})$ is noncompact. In fact, $\mathrm{Auto}_0(\mathfrak{n}) \simeq \mathsf{GL}(2)$ as proved in Proposition 4.1. Also, by Lemma 4.3 the geodesic graph cannot be defined on $\mathcal{V}_0$, but is rational on the set $\mathcal{U}$ defined in (17). For the behavior of the limit of the geodesic graph we have:

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be as in Lemma 4.3. For any vector $Y \in \mathcal{V}$, there exists a curve $\gamma : [0, \delta) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}$ such that $\gamma(0) = Y$, $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{U}$ for $t > 0$ and the limit of $\xi_3(\gamma(t)) \longrightarrow \infty$ when $t \longrightarrow 0_+$.*

Proof. Let $Y = X + Z$ be in $\mathcal{V}$ with $X = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + x_4e_4$ and $Z = z_5e_5 + z_6e_6$ as in the previous notations. Consider the curve

$$\gamma(t) = (x_1 + t^2, x_2, x_3, x_4 + t^4, z_5 + t, z_6)$$

and write $\gamma(t) = \gamma_{\mathfrak{v}}(t) + \gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}(t)$ where $\gamma_{\mathfrak{v}}$ and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}$ are the components on $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ respectively. Notice that $\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{v}}(t), \gamma_{\mathfrak{v}}(t) \rangle = -2\,t^2(t^4 + t^2x_1 + x_4)$ and $\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}(t), \gamma_{\mathfrak{z}}(t) \rangle = t^2 + 2z_5t$, hence $\langle \gamma_{\mathfrak{v}}(t), \gamma_{\mathfrak{v}}(t) \rangle \neq 0$ if $0 < t < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Thus $\gamma(t) \in \mathcal{U}$ if $0 < t < \delta$.

The components of the geodesic graph in (16) give for $\gamma(t)$

$$\xi_3(\gamma(t)) \quad = \quad \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\left(y_1 + t^2\right)^2 (y_5 + t + y_6) + 4\,{y_2}^2\,(y_5 + t - y_6)}{t^6 + t^4y_1 + t^2y_4}. \qquad (22)$$

One easily sees that that $\lim_{t \longrightarrow 0^+} \xi_3(\gamma(t)) = \infty$.

■

References

[1] W. Batat, P. Gadea, and J. Oubiña. The Lorentzian oscillator group as a geodesic orbit space. *J. Math. Phys.*, 53(10):103510, 14, 2012.

[2] G. Calvaruso and Z. Dušek. A null geodesic orbit space whose null orbits require a reparametrization. In *Proceedings of the 9th international conference on geometry, integrability and quantization, Sts. Constantine and Elena (near Varna), Bulgaria, June 8–13, 2007*, pages 167–174. Sofia: Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2008.

[3] G. Calvaruso and R. Marinosci. Homogeneous geodesics of non-unimodular Lorentzian Lie groups and naturally reductive Lorentzian spaces in dimension three. *Adv. Geom.*, 8:473–289, 2008.

[4] P. Ciatti. Scalar products on Clifford modules and pseudo-H-type Lie algebras. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., IV. Ser.*, 178:1–31, 2000.

[5] V. del Barco and G.P. Ovando. Isometric actions on pseudo-Riemannian nilmanifolds. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.*, 45(2):95–110, 2014.

[6] Z. Dušek and O. Kowalski. On six-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian almost g.o. spaces. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 57(10):2014–2023, 2007.

[7] Z. Dušek. Survey on homogeneous geodesics. *Note di Mat I.*, 1:147–168, 2008.

[8] Z. Dušek. Almost g.o. spaces in dimensions 6 and 7. *Adv. Geom.*, 9:99–110, 2009.

[9] Z. Dušek and K. Kowalski. Examples of pseudo-Riemannian g.o. manifolds. In *Proceedings of the 8th international conference on geometry, integrability and quantization, Sts. Constantine and Elena (near Varna), Bulgaria, June 9–14, 2006*, pages 144–155. Sofia: Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2007.

[10] Z. Dušek and O Kowalski. Light-like homogeneous geodesics and the geodesic lemma for any signature. *Publ. Math.*, 71(1-2):245–252, 2007.

[11] J Figueroa-O'Farrill, P. Meessen, and S. Philip. Homogeneity and plane-wave limit. *J. High Energy Phys.*, 5:050, 2005.

[12] C. Gordon. Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds whose geodesics are orbits. In *Topics in geometry. In memory of Joseph D'Atri*, pages 155–174. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 1996.

[13] C. Jang, P. Parker, and K. Park. Pseudo H-type 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. *Houston J. Math.*, 31(3):765–786, 2005.

[14] A. Kaplan. Riemannian nilmanifolds attached to Clifford modules. *Geom. Dedicata*, 11(2):127–136, 1981.

[15] A. Kaplan. On the geometry of groups of Heisenberg type. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 15(1):35–42, 1983.

[16] O. Kowalski and L. Vanhecke. Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., VII. Ser., B*, 5(1):189–246, 1991.

[17] J. Lauret. Modified H-type groups and symmetric-like Riemannian spaces. *Differ. Geom. Appl.*, 10(2):121–143, 1999.

[18] P. Meessen. Homogeneous Lorentzian spaces whose null-geodesics are canonically homogeneous. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 75(3):209–212, 2006.

[19] B. O'Neill. *Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. Academic Press, 1983.

[20] G. Ovando. Naturally reductive pseudo-Riemannian 2-step nilpotent lie groups. *Houston J. Math.*, 39(1):147–167, 2013.

[21] S. Philip. Penrose limits of homogeneous spaces. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 56(9):1516–1533, 2006.

[22] C. Riehm. Explicit spin representations and Lie algebras of Heisenberg type. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 29(1):49–62, 1984.

[23] J. Szenthe. Sur la connexion naturelle à torsion nulle. *Acta Sci. Math.*, 38:383–398, 1976.

Viviana del Barco
e-mail: `delbarc@fceia.unr.edu.ar`
Universidad Nacional de Rosario, ECEN-FCEIA, Depto de Matemática, Pellegrini 250, 2000 Rosario, Santa Fe, Argentina.